Generalized Parton Distributions for The Proton

Dipankar Chakrabarti IIT Kanpur, India.

> NTSE-2013. May 14, 2013.

Plan of the talk:

- Introduction to GPDs.
- GPDs in QDS/QCD
- GPDs in position space
- A model for proton GPDs
- comparison of the GPDs
- Conclusions

Introduction to GPDs

- Deep Inelastic Scatterings(DIS): => parton distribution functions(PDFs)
- PDFs : probablity of finding partons carrying x fraction of the hadron's total momentum. => help to understand the structure of hadrons.
- PDFs are functions of x only.

- Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering(DVCS)/ Meson productions involve Generalized Parton Distributions(GPDs).
- GPDs are functions of x, \zeta: longitudinal momentum fraction transferred(skewness),
 t: total momentum transferred square.
 Contain more info than PDFs.
- GPDs: off-forward matrix elements=>no probabilistic interpretation

• Ji sum rule relates the orbital angular momentum and the moments of GPDs.

[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 610.]

 M. Burkardt showed that GPDs in transverse impact parameter space have probabilistic interpretation and give info about spatial structure.

[M. Burkardt, Int. J. Mod. Phy. A18 (2003) 127]

• GPDs provide both angular mom. and spatial info.

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \ x [H_f(x,\zeta,t) + E_f(x,\zeta,t)] = 2\langle J_f \rangle$$

[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 610.]

 M. Burkardt showed that GPDs in transverse impact parameter space have probabilistic interpretation and give info about spatial structure.

[M. Burkardt, Int. J. Mod. Phy. A18 (2003) 127]

• GPDs provide both angular mom. and spatial info.

• Ji sum rule relates the orbital angular momentum and the moments of GPDs.

[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 610.]

 M. Burkardt showed that GPDs in transverse impact parameter space have probabilistic interpretation and give info about spatial structure.

[M. Burkardt, Int. J. Mod. Phy. A18 (2003) 127]

• GPDs provide both angular mom. and spatial info.

• DVCS amplitude can be defined by lightcone time ordered product of currents:

$$M^{\mu\nu} = i \int d^4y \ e^{-iq \cdot y} \langle P' \mid T(J^{\mu}(y)J^{\nu}(0)) \mid P \rangle$$

In deeply virtual region photon polarization

$$M^{IJ}(q_{\perp}, \Delta_{\perp}, \zeta) = \epsilon^{I}_{\mu} \epsilon^{*J}_{\nu} M^{\mu\nu} = -e^{2} \frac{1}{2\bar{P}^{+}} \int_{\zeta-1}^{1} dx$$
$$t^{IJ}(x, \zeta) \bar{U}(P') \Big[H(x, \zeta, t) \gamma^{+} + E(x, \zeta, t) i \sigma^{+i}(-\Delta_{i})/(2M) \Big] U(P)$$



DVCS in position space

(the position of struck quark shifts in x^-)



Handbag diagram for DVCS



Bethe-Heitler process



Experimental studies of DVCS/GPDs

- experiments observe the overlap of DVCS and Bethe-Heitler processes. BH subtracted as background.
- HERA (HI, ZEUS, HARMES): DVCS experiments with wide range of energy.
- JLAB, Hall A and Hall B.
- COMPASS at CERN

Lightcone wavefunction formalism for DVCS/GPDs

- GPDs : nonperturbative quantities...lattice/ model dependent calculations.
- DVCS/GPDs can be evaluated from Fock state representation of incoming and outgoing proton.
- Lightcone wavefunctions encode all the bound state quark/gluon properties of hadrons.
- Lightcone boosts are kinematical=> frame independent amplitudes.

AdS/

Brodsky and Teramond, PLB 582,211(2004); PRL 96, 201601(2006)

- Light front holographic mapping of string modes in AdS on the QCD excitations on the boundary.
- $AdS_{4+1} \rightarrow QCD_{3+1}$ but QCD is not a conformal theory
- Hard wall model: put a boundary on the AdS space where the wavefunctions vanish.
- In soft wall model, a confining potential is introduced in the AdS space.
 => LFWF for the hadrons

- AdS action in soft wall model: $S = \int d^4x dz \sqrt{g} \left(\frac{i}{2} \bar{\Psi} e^M_A \Gamma^A D_M \Psi - \frac{i}{2} (D_M \bar{\Psi}) e^M_A \Gamma^A \Psi - \mu \bar{\Psi} \Psi - V(z) \bar{\Psi} \Psi \right)$
- where $e_A^M = (z/R)\delta_A^M$ is the inverse veilbein.
- V(z) is the confining potential (linear confinement)
- In d=4 dimensions: $\Gamma_A = \{\gamma_\mu, -i\gamma_5\}.$

• With $z \to \zeta$, and $U(\zeta) = (R/\zeta)V(\zeta) = \kappa^2 \zeta$ the light front wave equations can be written as

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{1 - 4\nu^2}{4\zeta^2} + \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2(\nu + 1)\kappa^2\right)\psi_+(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi_+(\zeta)$$
$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{1 - 4(\nu + 1)^2}{4\zeta^2} + \kappa^4 \zeta^2 + 2\nu\kappa^2\right)\psi_-(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\psi_-(\zeta)$$

• Solution: $\psi_{+}(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{\nu+1/2} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2})$ $\psi_{-}(\zeta) \sim \zeta^{\nu+3/2} e^{-\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2}/2} L_{n}^{\nu+1}(\kappa^{2}\zeta^{2})$

• with $\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n+\nu+1).$

GPDs from AdS/QCD

- GPDs in AdS/QCD can be derived from nucleon form factors:
- The Dirac form factors :

Brodsky, Teramond arXiv:1203.4125

$$F_1^p(Q^2) = R^4 \int \frac{dz}{z^4} V(Q^2, z) \psi_+^2(z)$$

$$F_1^n(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{3} R^4 \int \frac{dz}{z^4} V(q^2, z) (\psi_+^2(z) - \psi_-^2(z))$$

with $F_1^{p/n}(0) = e_{p/n}$

• Pauli form factors: $F_2^{p/n}(Q^2) \sim \int \frac{dz}{z^3} \psi_+(z) V(Q^2, z) \psi_-(z)$, with $F_2^{p/n}(0) = \chi_{p/n}$ =anomalous mag moment • The bulk to boundary propagator for the soft wall model can be written in a simple integral form:

$$V(Q^2, z) = \kappa^2 z^2 \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1-x)^2} x^{Q^2/(4\kappa^2)} e^{-\kappa^2 z^2 x/(1-x)}$$

1

On the other hand the form factors are related with the valence GPDs by

0

<u>r</u>1

Diehl, Eur. Phy. J C39, I (2005)

$$F_1^p(t) = \int_0^1 dx (\frac{2}{3} H_v^u(x,t) - \frac{1}{3} H_v^d(x,t)),$$

$$F_1^n(t) = \int_0^1 dx (\frac{2}{3} H_v^d(x,t) - \frac{1}{3} H_v^u(x,t)),$$

$$F_2^p(t) = \int_0^1 dx (\frac{2}{3} E_v^u(x,t) - \frac{1}{3} E_v^d(x,t)),$$

$$F_2^n(t) = \int_0^1 dx (\frac{2}{3} E_v^d(x,t) - \frac{1}{3} E_v^u(x,t)).$$

GPDs for u quark GPD H(x,t) GPD E(x,t)



GPDs for d-quark

GPD H(x,t)

GPD E(x,t)



GPDs in impact parameter space

 Fourier transform w r t the transverse momentum transfer => GPDs in transverse position space.

$$q(x,b) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^2 \Delta e^{-i\Delta^{\perp} \cdot b^{\perp}} H(x,t)$$
$$e(x,b) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int d^2 \Delta e^{-i\Delta^{\perp} \cdot b^{\perp}} E(x,t).$$

- Physically: probability of finding the quark of long. mom. fraction x at a transverse position b_{\perp}
- The relative distance $\frac{b}{1-x}$ beth the quark and the spectator gives the size of the system.



adopted from: M. Diehl et al, Eur. Phys. J. C39(2005) 1.

Impact parameter dependent GPDs

H(x,b) for u quark



GPD E(x,b) for u-quark



model for proton GPDs

- We consider here the phenomenological model for proton GPDs proposed by[S. Ahmad et al., Phys. rev. D 75, 094003(2007); Eur, Phys, J. C63, 407(2009)]
- model: spectator model+Regge term(for low x behavior)

$$H^{I}(x,t) = G^{\lambda^{I}}_{M^{I}_{x}}(x,t) x^{-\alpha^{I} - \beta^{I}_{1}(1-x)^{p_{1}^{I}}t}$$
$$E^{I}(x,t) = \kappa G^{\lambda^{I}}_{M^{I}_{x}}(x,t) x^{-\alpha^{I} - \beta^{I}_{2}(1-x)^{p_{2}^{I}}t}$$

- parameters are fixed by fitting proton electric and magnetic form factors.
- additional constrains: for zero skewness:

$$\int_0^1 dx E_q(x,t=0) = \kappa^q$$

 κ^q = anomalous magnetic moment

- For nonzero skewness, GPDs have to satisfy the polynomiality condition.
- Two different set of parameters satisfy the fits (Set-I, Set-II)

Zero skewness

[DC, R. Manohar, A. Mukherjee, Phy. lett. B682,428(2010)]

H(x,b) for u-quark





d-quark is smaller than u-quark => u-quark contribution dominates helicity nonflip distribution.

E(x,b) for u-quark





For small and medium b, d-quark is larger than u-quark.=> d-quark dominates proton helicity flip distribution.

 FT of H(x,t) for a transversely polarised proton is given by

$$q^{X}(x,b) = q(x,b) - \frac{1}{2M} \frac{\partial e(x,b)}{\partial b_{y}}$$

 E(x,t) causes a transverse shift(for state polarized in x-dirn, the shift is in y-dirn)

Comparison of the two models

E(x,b) for u quark



E(x,b) for d-quark



H(x,b) for d-quark



Summary and Conclusions

- GPDs are studied from AdS/QCD and also in a phenomenological model for proton.
- In ADS/QCD, the GPDs are extracted from the nucleon form factors.
- Impact parameter dependent GPDs are studied and compared for the two models.
- Overall behaviors of the GPDs in impact parameter space are similar in both cases.
- For small b, in both models, H(x,b) is larger for u-quark and E(x,b) larger for d-quark.

