Scattering in the system of three charged particles Treatment by potential splitting approach

Sergey L. Yakovlev

International Conference Nuclear Theory in the Supercomputing Era – 2016 Khabarovsk, September 19 – 23, 2016

> Department of Computational Physics, St. Petersburg State University, Russia

> > September 22, 2016

- 4 同 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

COULOMB INTERACTION 1785

$$V_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = rac{q_1q_2}{r}$$

One of the most simple interaction One of the most complicated dynamics



A B N A B N

Three-body short-range (non Coulomb) case

Two-body level

Solve two body problems for bound states and T-matrices

- Solve three-body Faddeev equation
- Calculate wave function, transition amplitudes, cross sections ... *etc.*



Three-body Coulomb case

Two-body level

Solve the two body Coulomb problem analytically

- the original Faddeev integral equations cannot be used since the strong singularities of the two-body Coulomb T-matrices in the kernel
- Faddeev-Noble integral equations are well behaved for repulsive Coulomb plus short-range potentials but require the three-body Coulomb Green's function
- Faddeev-Merkuriev integral and differential equations with splitting of the Coulomb potentials into long-range and short-range parts are well defined for both repulsive and attractive Coulomb potentials but still contain complicated three-body Green's function

Screening in the Three-body Coulomb Scattering problem (Alt, Sandhas, Ziegelmann 1978)

Two-body level

- Solve the two-body problem with screened Coulomb potentials (Yukawa and cut off screening possess analytic solution)
- Renormalize the t-matrix for the screened Coulomb potential to have the finite limit when the screening radius tends to ∞

- Insert renormalised t-matrices into short-range Faddeev equations and solve with increasing the screening radius as long as the results get stability
- Calculate wave function, transition amplitudes, cross sections
- Declare that these quantities ARE THE SOLUTION of the three-body Coulomb problem

Screening in the Three-body Coulomb Scattering problem (Alt, Sandhas, Ziegelmann 1978)

Two-body level

- Solve the two-body problem with screened Coulomb potentials (Yukawa and cut off screening possess analytic solution)
- Renormalize the t-matrix for the screened Coulomb potential to have the finite limit when the screening radius tends to ∞

- Insert renormalised t-matrices into short-range Faddeev equations and solve with increasing the screening radius as long as the results get stability
- Interpretation of the solution is problematic:when screening radius tends to infinity the limiting Faddeev equtions become bad defined and do not generate the solution to the tree-body Coulomb problem

Coulomb scattering problem

What to do

Search for such a formalism for solving the three-body Coulomb scattering problem which treats properly the two specific Coulomb features

- Long-range character of the Coulomb potentials
- Infinite number of Coulomb excitations of two-body subsystems which leads to the asymptotic representation of the wave-function with infinite number of terms

Complex scaling method allows to avoid the use explicitly the asymptotic form of the wave function



< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

I. Complex scaling (rotation) method

Complex scaling transformation $(W^{\theta}u)(x) = J(\theta)^{1/2}u[\phi_{\theta}(x)].$ The transformed Hamiltonian $H(\theta) = W^{\theta} H (W^{\theta})^{-1}.$



The spectrum of $H(\theta)$:

- All branches of continuous spectrum H are rotated on 2θ
- Bound states energies remain unchanged
- New complex eigenvalues may appear. Those which are independent on θ (after some minimal critical angle) are resonances.



7 / 37

I. Solution of the scattering problem with the complex scaling

The problem: complicated boundary conditions. A solution: the complex scaling. The rotated variable

 $\phi_ heta(r) \sim ext{const} + r \exp{(i heta)} \quad ext{at} \quad r o \infty.$

gives for the wave e^{ikr} at the complex argument $\phi_{\theta}(r)$

 $e^{ik\phi_{ heta}(r)} \sim ext{const} \; e^{ikr \exp{(i heta)}} = ext{const} \; e^{ikr \cos{ heta}} e^{-kr \sin{ heta}}.$

 e^{-ikr} grows exponentially at large distances on $\phi_{\theta}(r)$.



→ 椰子 → 国子 → 国子 三国

I. Driven equation in the two body case

Following the representation of the Hamiltonian $H = H^{as} + V^{res}$ the total w.f. Ψ is represented as

$$\Psi = \Psi^{in} + \Phi.$$

If an incident wave Ψ^{in} obeys $(H^{as}-E)\Psi^{in}=0$ then

$$(H^{as}+V-E)\Phi=-V^{res}\Psi^{in}$$

and asymptotically Φ behaves as outgoing $\Phi \propto e^{+ikr}$ wave. Application of the CS:

$$H(heta)(W^{ heta}\Phi) = -W^{ heta}V^{res}\Psi^{in}.$$

Under which conditions can this equation be solved ? 1) $W^{\theta}\Phi$ is square integrable (goes to zero at infinity). 2) The R.H.S. goes to zero at infinity.

It depends on both V^{res} and Ψ^{in} .

I. The driven equation

1) Exponentially decreasing potentials (J. Nuttall et al. 1969). $(H_0 - E)\Psi^{in} = 0$, and

$$({H}_0+V-E)\Phi=-V\Psi^{in}$$

After CS, Φ decreases exponentially. Ψ^{in} can grow as long as V can compensate this growth.

2) Long range (but not Coulomb) potentials (T.N.Rescigno et al. 1997) Cut-off the potential: $V \to V_R$,

$$V_R(r) = \left\{egin{array}{cc} V(r), & r \leq R \ 0, & r > R, \end{array}
ight.$$

 V_R is not analytic for $r \leq R o$ the exterior CS is required with $Q \geq R$. Then

$$W^{ heta}\left[V_{R}\Psi^{in}
ight](r)=0 \quad ext{at} \quad r
ightarrow\infty.$$



I. Splitting approach for Coulomb potential (the original idea by S.P. Merkuriev 1978..., implementation by S.L. Yakovlev et al. 2009...)

A. Splitting the Coulomb potential

$$V^{\mathcal{C}} = V_{\mathcal{R}} + V^{\mathcal{R}}$$

into core V_R and tail $V^R = V^C - V_R$ parts.

B. Solving *analytically* the Schrödinger equation for the tail part of the potential

$$(H_0 + V^R - E)\Psi^{inR} = 0$$

in order to construct the distorted incident wave Ψ^{inR} . C. Solving the inhomogeneous (driven) Schrödinger equation for Φ

$$(H_0 + V^{\mathcal{C}} - E)\Phi = -V_R \Psi^{inR}$$

by exterior complex scaling with boundary condition $W^{\theta}\Phi \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$ and the driven term such that $W^{\theta}V_{R}\Psi^{inR} \to 0$ as $r \to \infty$.

II. Three-Body Problem



Figure: Three-body Jacobi coordinates and potentials



September 22, 2016

S.L. Yakovlev (SPbGU) Three-Body Coulomb scattering

II. Three-Body Problem

Three-body Hamiltonian has the form

$$H=H_0+V_1(x_1)+V_2(x_2)+V_3(x_3)$$

 H_0 is the kinetic energy operator

$$H_0=-rac{1}{2\mu_lpha}\Delta_{{f x}_lpha}-rac{1}{2
u_lpha}\Delta_{{f y}_lpha}$$

with reduced masses defined as

$$\mu_lpha = rac{m_eta m_\gamma}{m_eta + m_\gamma} ~~
u_lpha = rac{m_lpha (m_eta + m_\gamma)}{m_lpha + m_eta + m_\gamma}$$

 $V_{lpha}(x_{lpha})$ are the Coulomb potentials

$$V_{lpha}(x_{lpha}) = rac{q_eta q_\gamma}{|\mathbf{x}_{lpha}|}$$

Convention:

 $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ is any ciclic pemutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$



II. Incident configuration for three-Body scattering problem



Figure: The incident configuration of bound state of particles (2,3) as a target and particle 1 as a spectator

S.L. Yakovlev (SPbGU) Three-Body Coulomb scattering

II. Incident channel attributes

The incident channel Hamiltonian

$$H_1 = H_0 + V_1(x_1) + V_{ ext{eff}}(y_1), \quad \left| V_{ ext{eff}}(y_1) = rac{q_1(q_2+q_3)}{|\mathbf{y}_1|}
ight|$$

dictates the incident channel wave function of the form

$$\Psi_{A_0}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1)=\phi_{A_0}(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{p}_{A_0},\mathbf{y}_1)$$

where

 $\phi_{A_0}(\mathbf{x})$ is the two body bound state wave function with quantum numbers $A_0 = n_0, \ell_0$ $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y})$ is the two-body Coulomb scattering state for the potential $V_{\mathrm{eff}}(y_1)$



II. Three-body scattering problem Solve the Schrödinger equation

 $[H_0+V(x,y)]\Psi\equiv (H_0+V_1(x_1)+V_2(x_2)+V_3(x_3))\Psi({f x},{f y})=E\Psi({f x},{f y})$

with the asymptotic boundary conditions: 1) for the incident configuration

$$\Psi({f x},{f y})\sim \Psi_{A_0}({f x}_1,{f y}_1)\!+\!\!\sum_A F_{A,A_0}\phi_A({f x}_1)\exp\{i[p_Ay_1\!-\!\eta_A\log(2p_Ay_1)]\}/y_1,$$

when $x_1 < const$ and $y_1 \rightarrow \infty,$ 2) for the rearrangement configuration

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\sim\sum_{B}F_{B,A_0}\phi_B(\mathbf{x}_eta)\exp\{i[p_By_eta-\eta_B\log(2p_By_eta)]\}/y_eta,~~eta=2,3,$$

when $x_{\beta} < const$ and $y_{\beta} \to \infty$, 3) for the breakup channel with hyper radius definition $\rho^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x^2}{\mu} + \frac{y^2}{\nu})$

September 22, 2016

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\sim F_{br,A_0}\exp\{i[\sqrt{E}
ho-rac{
ho}{2\sqrt{E}}V(x,y)\log(2\sqrt{E}
ho)]\}/
ho^{5/2},$$

when $x, y \to \infty$.

S.L. Yakovlev (SPbGU) Three-Body Coulomb scattering

II. The main asymptotic features of the three-body Coulomb scattering problem

1.

Asymptotic form is different in different arrangements $\Omega_{\alpha} = \{x_{\alpha} < const, y_{\alpha} \rightarrow \infty\}, \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \text{ of the configuration space}$

2.

Asymptotic boundary conditions contain infinitely many terms for energies above the break up threshold, since all Coulomb excitation channels are open!

These "1. and 2." require to search

for a new formulation, which solves both of these problems

II. "Minimal" potential splitting approach algorithm

A) Split the reaction potential in the incident channel into the sum of the core V_R and the tail V^R parts

$$V_2(\mathbf{x}_2) + V_3(\mathbf{x}_3) \equiv V(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = rac{V_R}{R}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + rac{V^R}{R}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),$$

where

$$V_R = V, \ y_1 \leq R, \ V_R = 0, \ y_1 > R, \ V^R = V - V_R$$

B) Define the distorted incident wave $\Psi^R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$:

$$\left[H_0+V_1(x_1)+V^R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})-E\right]\Psi^R(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=0.$$

C) Solve for $\Phi \equiv \Psi - \Psi^R$ the driven Schrödinger equation

 $(\mathbf{H_0} + \mathbf{V} - \mathbf{E}) \Phi = -V_R \Psi^R.$

with boundary conditions containing only outgoing waves and with R.H.S. of the finite range with respect to the variable y_1 .

Potential splitting approach

How to construct Ψ^R ?

At the first stage we take the leading term of $V^R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ in the incident configuration $V_C^R(y_1) = (q_2 + q_3)/y_1$ (or 0) when $y_1 > R$ (or $\leq R$) and define the wave function

$$\Psi^R_0(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1) = arphi_{A_0}(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi^R_\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_1,\mathbf{p}_{A_0})$$

where $\psi_{\mathcal{C}}^{R}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{p}_{A_{0}})$ is found analytically (Yakovlev et al. 2010). In the region $(y \leq R)$ it is given by

$$\psi^R_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{y}) = rac{4\pi}{p_{A_0}y}\sum_{\ell,m}a^R_\ell i^\ell \hat{j}_\ell(p_{A_0}y)Y_{\ell,m}(\hat{p}_{A_0})Y_{\ell,m}(\hat{y})$$

with

$$egin{aligned} a^R_\ell &= e^{i\sigma_\ell} W_R(F_\ell,u^+_\ell)/W_R(\hat{j}_\ell,u^+_\ell), \ u^+_\ell &= e^{-i\sigma_\ell}(G_\ell+iF_\ell) \end{aligned}$$





Potential splitting approach

The full distorted wave Ψ^R is then represented as

$$\Psi^R = \Psi^R_0 + \Psi^R_1.$$

with Ψ_1^R satisfying the inhomogeneous equation:

$$\left(H_0-V_1(\mathbf{x}_1)+V^R-E
ight)\Psi_1^R=-(V^R-V_\mathcal{C}^R)\Psi_0^R.$$

In the region where $\varphi_{A_0}(\mathbf{x}_1)$ is not negligible one obtains

$$V^R(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1)-V^R_\mathcal{C}(y_1)\sim O\left(y_1^{-2}
ight) \;\;\; y_1>R$$

therefore

$$|V^R(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{y}_1)-V^R_\mathcal{C}(y_1)|\leq const imes R^{-2}$$

and as the consequence this therm can be treated perturbatively if R is large.

Potential splitting approach

The solution Φ of the problem

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1,$$

where the functions Φ_0 , Φ_1 are the solutions to the equations

$$\left(H_0 - V_1(\mathbf{x}_1) + V^R - E
ight) \Phi_i = \left(H - E
ight) \Phi_i = - V_R \Psi^R_i, \hspace{1em} i = 0, 1.$$

The total wave function Ψ

$$\Psi = \Psi_0^R + \Phi_0 + \Psi_1^R + \Phi_1.$$

The two last terms vanish when $R \to \infty$. For moderate values of R, their contributions might not be negligible.

Wave function asymptotic behavior

$$\Phi\sim\sum_{n,\ell}\left[rac{R_{n,\ell}(x_lpha)}{x_lpha}Y_{\ell,0}(heta,0)
ight]u_\ell^+(y_lpha,p_n) ilde{F}_{n,\ell}+B(x,y, heta).$$

The total state-to-state $(n_0,\ell_0) o (n,\ell)$ scattering amplitude $F_{n,\ell}$ is split into terms

$$F_{n,\ell} = [F_0^R]_{n,\ell} + [F_1^R]_{n,\ell} + ilde{F}_{n,\ell}.$$

The term $[F_0^R]_{n,\ell}$ corresponds to the function Ψ_0^R and is calculated explicitly.

The terms $[F_1^R]_{n,\ell}$ and $\tilde{F}_{n,\ell}$ correspond to the functions Ψ_1^R and $\Phi = \Phi_0 + \Phi_1$, respectively.

The local representation for the partial amplitudes $\tilde{F}_{n,\ell}$

$$ilde{F}_{n,\ell}pprox rac{(u_\ell^+)^{-1}(y_lpha,p_n)}{2\pi}\int\limits_0^\infty dx\int\limits_0^\pi\sin heta d heta x R_{n,\ell}(x)\Phi(x,y, heta)Y_{\ell,0}(heta,0).$$

Solution of the scattering problem

Two-step process:

- 1 Solution of the driven equation
- 2 Calculation of the scattering amplitudes

Step 1 The exterior complex rotation of the driven equation:

$$H(\theta)(W^{\theta}\Phi) = W^{\theta}[RHS].$$

Zero boundary conditions at infinity, original b.c. are not used!

Step 2

Calculation of amplitudes inside the non-rotated region. original b.c. are used!

Unified approach for scattering and resonances

- Mathematically correct platform for treating scattering processes and resonances
- The same numerical approach for both problems
- The same parameters of the numerical approach
- Natural parameter-free correspondence between resonances and peculiarities of scattering data

Numerical approach: FEM

- Finite element method: $\Phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum v_i f_i(x,y,\cos heta)$
- Spatial elements: rectangular elements in xy plane, Legendre polynomials in $\cos \theta$
- Local basis: projection of $f_i(x, y, \cos \theta)$ on each element

$$|f_i(x,y,\cos heta)|_{\Omega_m}=f_{im}^{(x)}(x)f_{im}^{(y)}(y)f_{im}^{(z)}(\cos heta)$$

The Schrödinger equation is written as the linear system for the scattering problem:

$$(ilde{H}-z ilde{S})v=f$$
 ,

and as the generalized matrix eigenvalue problem (GEP) for resonances:

$$ilde{H} \; v = z ilde{S} v$$
 .

Here

$$(ilde{H})_{i,j} = \langle f_i | H | f_j
angle, \qquad (ilde{S})_{i,j} = \langle f_i | f_j
angle.$$

 \tilde{H} is complex symmetric.

Computational approach

General structure of the problem:

- matrices \tilde{H} are \tilde{S} are (relatively) sparse
- \bullet complex symmetric matrices of dimension from 10^5 to 10^6
- direct PARDISO and MUMPS solvers of algebraic linear equation systems
- GEP solution: shift-invert approach and the Arnoldi method

Parallel calculation:

- computation of the matrix elements over finite elements (MPI)
- parallel solvers for the system of linear equations and GEP



Parallelization



Figure: Calculation speed-up as a function of the number of processors. The squares and triangles are the results for the FEM degree of 7 and 9, respectively. The solid line corresponds to the matrix elements of the Hamiltonian, and the dot line does to the r.h.s.

Electron-H and electron-He⁺ scattering



Figure: The relative difference $|\sigma - \sigma^{corr}|/\sigma$ for the 1s \rightarrow ns cross sections for the e-H scattering as a function of the splitting radius *R*. The ECS radius Q=121 a.u.



Electron-H and electron-He⁺ scattering



Figure: The relative difference $|\sigma - \sigma^{corr}|/\sigma$ for the 1s \rightarrow ns cross sections for e-He⁺ scattering as a function of the splitting radius R. The ECS radius Q=121 a.u.

Corrections for the Temkin-Poet model



Figure: The relative difference $|\sigma - \sigma^{corr}|/\sigma$ for the 1s \rightarrow ns cross sections for the Temkin-Poet model as a function of the splitting radius R. The energy E=0.147 a.u. above the ionization threshold, the ECS radius Q=121 a.u.



Electron-H and electron-He⁺ scattering



Figure: The singlet e-H (left) and e-He⁺ (right) cross sections. Ionization thresholds are 0.5 a.u. for e-H and 2.0 a.u. for e-He⁺ scattering.



Resonances in the three-body Coulomb system

Three-body resonances are calculated as the complex eigenvalues of the rotated Hamiltonian $H(\theta)$ which do not change its position with variation of the rotation angle θ . As an example, in the table the first deepest resonances for He are given. They fit perfectly the peaks in the e-He⁺ cross section below the first excitation threshold of He⁺.

Table: First deepest resonances of He atom below the first excitation threshold of $\mathrm{He^+}$

Positron Hydrogen scattering and annihilation

The effective annihilation rate parameter $Z_{\rm eff}$ is defined through the wave function as

$$Z_{ ext{eff}} = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} |\Psi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})|^2 \delta(\mathbf{x}_3)$$

assuming that the particle 1 is the positron, particle 2 is the electron and particle 3 is the proton.



Conclusions

- Mathematically well defined approach for calculations both scattering and resonances
- The same numerical approach for both problems: easy comparison
- Future: incorporate the potential splitting into Faddeev type equation in order to have as much as optimal Faddeev equation formalism for the three-body Coulomb scattering



Acknowledgements

This work has been done in collaboration with

- Evgeny A. Yarevsky (St. Petersburg State University)
- Mikhail V. Volkov (St. Petersburg State University)
- Nils Elander (Stockholm University)

The work was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant No 14-02-00326 and by St Petersburg State University within the project No. 11.38.241.2015.

arXiv:1606.03228

Potential splitting approach to e-H and e-He⁺ scattering with zero total angular momentum

EPJ Web of Conferences, 108 (2016) 02046

Scattering Problem and Resonances for Three-Body Coulomb Quantum Systems: Parallel Calculations

Publications

 M.V. Volkov, N. Elander, E. Yarevsky, S.L. Yakovlev, Euro Physics Letters 85 (2009) 30001
 S.L. Yakovlev, M.V. Volkov, E. Yarevsky and N. Elander, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 245302.
 M. V. Volkov, S. L. Yakovlev, E. A. Yarevsky, and N. Elander, Phys. Rev. A 83, 032722 (2011)
 E. Yarevsky, S.L. Yakovlev, A. Larson, N. Elander, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 48 (2015) 115002
 M.V. Volkov, E.A. Yarevsky and S.L. Yakovlev, Euro Physics Letters 110 (2015) 30006



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION



September 22, 2016

S.L. Yakovlev (SPbGU) Three-Body Coulomb scattering